

What did we do?

Used a combination of data placemats and an emergent learning table to facilitate a data interpretation meeting. This platform allowed us to facilitate a conversation that flowed from data insights hypotheses action.

2 Insights

What patterns are we seeing? What insights are emerging?

Hypotheses

What will it take to make us successful moving forward?

1 Data

How well do our experiences and observations align with the data?
What additional stories can we add?



Action

4

When can we test our new thinking?

Emergent Learning Platform (www.4QPartners.com)

What did it make possible?

- Generate insights grounded in data
- Test our initial assumptions (theory of change)
- Brainstorm new ways to move the work forward

What difference did we make?

The foundation and their key stakeholders were able to <u>build</u> on evaluation findings to promote learning, generate insights, and foster collaborative problem-solving.

What did we learn?

- The power of collaborative conversation
- The importance of involving different perspectives when interpreting data

What would we do differently?

Build in frequent data interpretation meetings with foundation, key partners, and community members.

AEA 2019 Minneapolis, MN Rebecca Ochtera

What did we do?

Nine multi-sector partnerships addressing equity in community health outcomes were introduced to emergent learning (BAR/AAR) for community engagement

BeforeAction Review

- What are our intended results?
- What will success look like?
- What challenges might we encounter?
- What have we learned in similar situations?
- What will make us successful this time?
- · When will we check in?

AfterAction Review

- What were our intended results?
- What were our actual results?
- What caused our results?
- What will we sustain or improve?
- What is our next opportunity to test what we learned?
- · When will we check in?

Emergent Learning: A Framework for Whole-System Strategy, Learning, and Adaptation (www.4QPartners.com)

What did this make possible?

- Inserted real-time, collaborative reflection into the grant process
- Moved groups to identify hypotheses and test ideas during grant implementation

What did we learn?

- Emergent learning can fit pretty much anywhere with any group
- Definition of success is often not clear across stakeholders
- Returning learning is powerful for change

What difference did this make?

- Small shifts in thinking and acting for improving processes
- Grantee in-reach and evaluation process seen as collaborative/supportive
- Making thinking visible for better partnerships

What would we do differently?

- · Emergent learning context
- Greater intention around who is at the table
- Bring joint learning back to the grantee community







